



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,909	11/13/2003	Andrew James Moreton	060707-1570	7315
24504	7590	06/09/2009		
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTIMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			EXAMINER	
600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.			KEEHN, RICHARD G	
STE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994			2456	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/705,909	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MORETON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Richard G. Keehn	<b>Art Unit</b> 2456

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard G. Keehn. (3) Anthony Bonner (55,012).

(2) Yasin Bargadle. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moroz, Microsoft and Brownell.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed prior art rejection of independent claim 1. No agreement was reached. Examiner suggested limiting the definition of "multiple support configurations".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yasin M Bargadle/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456